



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/750,521

12/31/2003

Brian H. Sherman

MOL-001

1975

22832

7590

05/08/2008

Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis LLP
(FORMERLY KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM)
STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER
One Lincoln Street
BOSTON, MA 02111-2950

EXAMINER

KIM, EUNHEE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2123

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/750,521	Applicant(s) SHERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Eunhee Kim	Art Unit 2123	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eunhee Kim.

(3) Michael Brodowski (REP).

(2) Karen Chan(REP).

(4) Brain Sherman, Karl Ruping, Keith Donaldson.

Date of Interview: 02 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: a short video at www.molysym.com.

Claim(s) discussed: 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Before the interview, the examiner viewed the brief description of invention that was described in a video at molysym.com. Also applicant briefly explained the present invention and technology and how the prior art is different from the present invention. It is further discussed that the possible claim for a node element and a bond element would be a system. It is agreed that the examiner would consider when the applicants amend. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eunhee Kim/
Examiner, Art Unit 2123

/plr/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required